

# Consultant Selection Rating Results

Opening Date: 03/01/2024



	Selection Committee Member Scores				Total Score
	Mayor Lee Wilkinson	City Administrator Nick Dutro	City Engineer Matt Watson	Public Works Supt. Brandon Burner	
<b>Firms</b>					
K.E. McCartney	87	78	63	69	297
Burgess & Niple	87	76	77	70	310
DLZ	90	74	82	82	328
AECOM	90	75	82	81	328
American Structurepoint	96	81	93	89	359

**EVALUATION FORM FOR QUALIFICATIONS FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES**

NAME OF PROJECT: CSO 18,19,23,33 & 37 Inflow Reduction

NAME OF DESIGN FIRM: K.E. McCartney

NAME OF REVIEWER: Mayor - Lee Wilkinson

Category	Total Value	Score
<b>Management &amp; Team</b>		
Project Manager (1)	10	9
Strength/Experience of Assigned Staff including Subconsultants (2)	25	20
Firm's Current Workload/Availability of Personnel (3)	10	7
<b>Consultants Past Performance (4)</b>	30	27
<b>Project Approach</b>	25	24
<b>Total</b>	100	87

**Notes:**

- (1) The proposed project manager for each consultant shall be ranked with the highest ranked project manager receiving the greatest number of points and the lower ranked project managers receiving commensurately lower scores. The rankings and scores should be based on each project manager's experience on similar projects and past performance for the City of Tiffin and other agencies. Differential scoring should consider the relative importance of the project manager's role in the success of a given project. The project manager's role in a simple project may be less important than that for a complex project, and differential scoring should reflect this with higher differentials assigned to projects that require a larger role for the project manager.
- (2) The experience and strength of the assigned staff, including subconsultant staff should be ranked and scored as noted for (1) with higher differential scores assigned on more difficult projects.
- (3) The consultant's workload and availability of qualified personnel, equipment and facilities shall be ranked and scored on a relative, differential scoring type basis. The scoring shall consider quantifiable concerns regarding the ability of a firm or firms rated higher in other categories to complete the work with staff members named in the letter of interest.
- (4) The consultants' past performance on similar projects shall be ranked and scored on a relative, differential scoring type basis, with the highest ranked consultant receiving a commensurately greater number of points. The selection team should consider past performance on work for the City of Tiffin and/or past performance on work completed for other agencies. References should be contacted as needed. The differential scoring should consider the complexity of the project and any subfactors identified in the project notification.

EVALUATION FORM FOR QUALIFICATIONS FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES

NAME OF PROJECT: CSO 18,19,23,33 & 37 Inflow Reduction

NAME OF DESIGN FIRM: Burgess & Niple

NAME OF REVIEWER: Mayor - Lee Wilkinson

Category	Total Value	Score
<b>Management &amp; Team</b>		
Project Manager (1)	10	9
Strength/Experience of Assigned Staff including Subconsultants (2)	25	22
Firm's Current Workload/Availability of Personnel (3)	10	8
<b>Consultants Past Performance (4)</b>	30	25
<b>Project Approach</b>	25	23
<b>Total</b>	100	87

Notes:

- (1) The proposed project manager for each consultant shall be ranked with the highest ranked project manager receiving the greatest number of points and the lower ranked project managers receiving commensurately lower scores. The rankings and scores should be based on each project manager's experience on similar projects and past performance for the City of Tiffin and other agencies. Differential scoring should consider the relative importance of the project manager's role in the success of a given project. The project manager's role in a simple project may be less important than that for a complex project, and differential scoring should reflect this with higher differentials assigned to projects that require a larger role for the project manager.
- (2) The experience and strength of the assigned staff, including subconsultant staff should be ranked and scored as noted for (1) with higher differential scores assigned on more difficult projects.
- (3) The consultant's workload and availability of qualified personnel, equipment and facilities shall be ranked and scored on a relative, differential scoring type basis. The scoring shall consider quantifiable concerns regarding the ability of a firm or firms rated higher in other categories to complete the work with staff members named in the letter of interest.
- (4) The consultants' past performance on similar projects shall be ranked and scored on a relative, differential scoring type basis, with the highest ranked consultant receiving a commensurately greater number of points. The selection team should consider past performance on work for the City of Tiffin and/or past performance on work completed for other agencies. References should be contacted as needed. The differential scoring should consider the complexity of the project and any subfactors identified in the project notification.

EVALUATION FORM FOR QUALIFICATIONS FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES

NAME OF PROJECT: CSO 18, 19, 23, 33 & 37 Inflow Reduction

NAME OF DESIGN FIRM: DLZ

NAME OF REVIEWER: Mayor - Lee Wilkinson

Category	Total Value	Score
<b>Management &amp; Team</b>		
Project Manager (1)	10	9
Strength/Experience of Assigned Staff including Subconsultants (2)	25	24
Firm's Current Workload/Availability of Personnel (3)	10	8
Consultants Past Performance (4)	30	27
Project Approach	25	22
<b>Total</b>	100	90

9  
51  
30

Notes:

- (1) The proposed project manager for each consultant shall be ranked with the highest ranked project manager receiving the greatest number of points and the lower ranked project managers receiving commensurately lower scores. The rankings and scores should be based on each project manager's experience on similar projects and past performance for the City of Tiffin and other agencies. Differential scoring should consider the relative importance of the project manager's role in the success of a given project. The project manager's role in a simple project may be less important than that for a complex project, and differential scoring should reflect this with higher differentials assigned to projects that require a larger role for the project manager.
- (2) The experience and strength of the assigned staff, including subconsultant staff should be ranked and scored as noted for (1) with higher differential scores assigned on more difficult projects.
- (3) The consultant's workload and availability of qualified personnel, equipment and facilities shall be ranked and scored on a relative, differential scoring type basis. The scoring shall consider quantifiable concerns regarding the ability of a firm or firms rated higher in other categories to complete the work with staff members named in the letter of interest.
- (4) The consultants' past performance on similar projects shall be ranked and scored on a relative, differential scoring type basis, with the highest ranked consultant receiving a commensurately greater number of points. The selection team should consider past performance on work for the City of Tiffin and/or past performance on work completed for other agencies. References should be contacted as needed. The differential scoring should consider the complexity of the project and any subfactors identified in the project notification.

EVALUATION FORM FOR QUALIFICATIONS FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES

NAME OF PROJECT: CSO 18, 19, 23, 33 & 37 Inflow Reduction

NAME OF DESIGN FIRM: AECOM

NAME OF REVIEWER: Mayor - Lee Wilkinson

Category	Total Value	Score
<b>Management &amp; Team</b>		
Project Manager (1)	10	10
Strength/Experience of Assigned Staff including Subconsultants (2)	25	21
Firm's Current Workload/Availability of Personnel (3)	10	9
<b>Consultants Past Performance (4)</b>	30	28
<b>Project Approach</b>	25	22
<b>Total</b>	100	90

Notes:

- (1) The proposed project manager for each consultant shall be ranked with the highest ranked project manager receiving the greatest number of points and the lower ranked project managers receiving commensurately lower scores. The rankings and scores should be based on each project manager's experience on similar projects and past performance for the City of Tiffin and other agencies. Differential scoring should consider the relative importance of the project manager's role in the success of a given project. The project manager's role in a simple project may be less important than that for a complex project, and differential scoring should reflect this with higher differentials assigned to projects that require a larger role for the project manager.
- (2) The experience and strength of the assigned staff, including subconsultant staff should be ranked and scored as noted for (1) with higher differential scores assigned on more difficult projects.
- (3) The consultant's workload and availability of qualified personnel, equipment and facilities shall be ranked and scored on a relative, differential scoring type basis. The scoring shall consider quantifiable concerns regarding the ability of a firm or firms rated higher in other categories to complete the work with staff members named in the letter of interest.
- (4) The consultants' past performance on similar projects shall be ranked and scored on a relative, differential scoring type basis, with the highest ranked consultant receiving a commensurately greater number of points. The selection team should consider past performance on work for the City of Tiffin and/or past performance on work completed for other agencies. References should be contacted as needed. The differential scoring should consider the complexity of the project and any subfactors identified in the project notification.



EVALUATION FORM FOR QUALIFICATIONS FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES

NAME OF PROJECT: CSO 18, 19, 23, 33 & 37 Inflow Reduction

NAME OF DESIGN FIRM: American Structurepoint

NAME OF REVIEWER: Mayor - Lee Wilkinson

Category	Total Value	Score
<b>Management &amp; Team</b>		
Project Manager (1)	10	10
Strength/Experience of Assigned Staff including Subconsultants (2)	25	23
Firm's Current Workload/Availability of Personnel (3)	10	10
<b>Consultants Past Performance (4)</b>	30	28
<b>Project Approach</b>	25	25
<b>Total</b>	100	96

Notes:

- (1) The proposed project manager for each consultant shall be ranked with the highest ranked project manager receiving the greatest number of points and the lower ranked project managers receiving commensurately lower scores. The rankings and scores should be based on each project manager's experience on similar projects and past performance for the City of Tiffin and other agencies. Differential scoring should consider the relative importance of the project manager's role in the success of a given project. The project manager's role in a simple project may be less important than that for a complex project, and differential scoring should reflect this with higher differentials assigned to projects that require a larger role for the project manager.
- (2) The experience and strength of the assigned staff, including subconsultant staff should be ranked and scored as noted for (1) with higher differential scores assigned on more difficult projects.
- (3) The consultant's workload and availability of qualified personnel, equipment and facilities shall be ranked and scored on a relative, differential scoring type basis. The scoring shall consider quantifiable concerns regarding the ability of a firm or firms rated higher in other categories to complete the work with staff members named in the letter of interest.
- (4) The consultants' past performance on similar projects shall be ranked and scored on a relative, differential scoring type basis, with the highest ranked consultant receiving a commensurately greater number of points. The selection team should consider past performance on work for the City of Tiffin and/or past performance on work completed for other agencies. References should be contacted as needed. The differential scoring should consider the complexity of the project and any subfactors identified in the project notification.

**EVALUATION FORM FOR QUALIFICATIONS FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES**

NAME OF PROJECT: CSO 18,19,23,33 & 37 Inflow Reduction

NAME OF DESIGN FIRM: K.E. McCartney

NAME OF REVIEWER: City Admin - Nick Dutro

Category	Total Value	Score
<b>Management &amp; Team</b>		
Project Manager (1)	10	8
Strength/Experience of Assigned Staff including Subconsultants (2)	25	22
Firm's Current Workload/Availability of Personnel (3)	10	5
<b>Consultants Past Performance (4)</b>	30	23
<b>Project Approach</b>	25	20
<b>Total</b>	100	78

**Notes:**

- (1) The proposed project manager for each consultant shall be ranked with the highest ranked project manager receiving the greatest number of points and the lower ranked project managers receiving commensurately lower scores. The rankings and scores should be based on each project manager's experience on similar projects and past performance for the City of Tiffin and other agencies. Differential scoring should consider the relative importance of the project manager's role in the success of a given project. The project manager's role in a simple project may be less important than that for a complex project, and differential scoring should reflect this with higher differentials assigned to projects that require a larger role for the project manager.
- (2) The experience and strength of the assigned staff, including subconsultant staff should be ranked and scored as noted for (1) with higher differential scores assigned on more difficult projects.
- (3) The consultant's workload and availability of qualified personnel, equipment and facilities shall be ranked and scored on a relative, differential scoring type basis. The scoring shall consider quantifiable concerns regarding the ability of a firm or firms rated higher in other categories to complete the work with staff members named in the letter of interest.
- (4) The consultants' past performance on similar projects shall be ranked and scored on a relative, differential scoring type basis, with the highest ranked consultant receiving a commensurately greater number of points. The selection team should consider past performance on work for the City of Tiffin and/or past performance on work completed for other agencies. References should be contacted as needed. The differential scoring should consider the complexity of the project and any subfactors identified in the project notification.

EVALUATION FORM FOR QUALIFICATIONS FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES

NAME OF PROJECT: CSO 18, 19, 23, 33 & 37 Inflow Reduction

NAME OF DESIGN FIRM: Burgess & Niple

NAME OF REVIEWER: City Admin. - Nick Dutro

Category	Total Value	Score
<b>Management &amp; Team</b>		
Project Manager (1)	10	8
Strength/Experience of Assigned Staff including Subconsultants (2)	25	18
Firm's Current Workload/Availability of Personnel (3)	10	8
<b>Consultants Past Performance (4)</b>	30	22
<b>Project Approach</b>	25	20
<b>Total</b>	100	76

Notes:

- (1) The proposed project manager for each consultant shall be ranked with the highest ranked project manager receiving the greatest number of points and the lower ranked project managers receiving commensurately lower scores. The rankings and scores should be based on each project manager's experience on similar projects and past performance for the City of Tiffin and other agencies. Differential scoring should consider the relative importance of the project manager's role in the success of a given project. The project manager's role in a simple project may be less important than that for a complex project, and differential scoring should reflect this with higher differentials assigned to projects that require a larger role for the project manager.
- (2) The experience and strength of the assigned staff, including subconsultant staff should be ranked and scored as noted for (1) with higher differential scores assigned on more difficult projects.
- (3) The consultant's workload and availability of qualified personnel, equipment and facilities shall be ranked and scored on a relative, differential scoring type basis. The scoring shall consider quantifiable concerns regarding the ability of a firm or firms rated higher in other categories to complete the work with staff members named in the letter of interest.
- (4) The consultants' past performance on similar projects shall be ranked and scored on a relative, differential scoring type basis, with the highest ranked consultant receiving a commensurately greater number of points. The selection team should consider past performance on work for the City of Tiffin and/or past performance on work completed for other agencies. References should be contacted as needed. The differential scoring should consider the complexity of the project and any subfactors identified in the project notification.





**EVALUATION FORM FOR QUALIFICATIONS FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES**

NAME OF PROJECT: CSO 18, 19, 23, 33 & 37 Inflow Reduction

NAME OF DESIGN FIRM: DLZ

NAME OF REVIEWER: City Admin - Nick Dutro

Category	Total Value	Score
<b>Management &amp; Team</b>		
Project Manager (1)	10	8
Strength/Experience of Assigned Staff including Subconsultants (2)	25	18
Firm's Current Workload/Availability of Personnel (3)	10	8
<b>Consultants Past Performance (4)</b>	30	20
<b>Project Approach</b>	25	20
<b>Total</b>	100	74

**Notes:**

- (1) The proposed project manager for each consultant shall be ranked with the highest ranked project manager receiving the greatest number of points and the lower ranked project managers receiving commensurately lower scores. The rankings and scores should be based on each project manager's experience on similar projects and past performance for the City of Tiffin and other agencies. Differential scoring should consider the relative importance of the project manager's role in the success of a given project. The project manager's role in a simple project may be less important than that for a complex project, and differential scoring should reflect this with higher differentials assigned to projects that require a larger role for the project manager.
- (2) The experience and strength of the assigned staff, including subconsultant staff should be ranked and scored as noted for (1) with higher differential scores assigned on more difficult projects.
- (3) The consultant's workload and availability of qualified personnel, equipment and facilities shall be ranked and scored on a relative, differential scoring type basis. The scoring shall consider quantifiable concerns regarding the ability of a firm or firms rated higher in other categories to complete the work with staff members named in the letter of interest.
- (4) The consultants' past performance on similar projects shall be ranked and scored on a relative, differential scoring type basis, with the highest ranked consultant receiving a commensurately greater number of points. The selection team should consider past performance on work for the City of Tiffin and/or past performance on work completed for other agencies. References should be contacted as needed. The differential scoring should consider the complexity of the project and any subfactors identified in the project notification.

**EVALUATION FORM FOR QUALIFICATIONS FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES**

NAME OF PROJECT: CSO 18, 19, 23, 33 & 37 Inflow Reduction

NAME OF DESIGN FIRM: AECOM

NAME OF REVIEWER: City Admin - Nick Dotro

Category	Total Value	Score
<b>Management &amp; Team</b>		
Project Manager (1)	10	9
Strength/Experience of Assigned Staff including Subconsultants (2)	25	19
Firm's Current Workload/Availability of Personnel (3)	10	8
<b>Consultants Past Performance (4)</b>	30	20
<b>Project Approach</b>	25	19
<b>Total</b>	100	75

**Notes:**

- (1) The proposed project manager for each consultant shall be ranked with the highest ranked project manager receiving the greatest number of points and the lower ranked project managers receiving commensurately lower scores. The rankings and scores should be based on each project manager's experience on similar projects and past performance for the City of Tiffin and other agencies. Differential scoring should consider the relative importance of the project manager's role in the success of a given project. The project manager's role in a simple project may be less important than that for a complex project, and differential scoring should reflect this with higher differentials assigned to projects that require a larger role for the project manager.
- (2) The experience and strength of the assigned staff, including subconsultant staff should be ranked and scored as noted for (1) with higher differential scores assigned on more difficult projects.
- (3) The consultant's workload and availability of qualified personnel, equipment and facilities shall be ranked and scored on a relative, differential scoring type basis. The scoring shall consider quantifiable concerns regarding the ability of a firm or firms rated higher in other categories to complete the work with staff members named in the letter of interest.
- (4) The consultants' past performance on similar projects shall be ranked and scored on a relative, differential scoring type basis, with the highest ranked consultant receiving a commensurately greater number of points. The selection team should consider past performance on work for the City of Tiffin and/or past performance on work completed for other agencies. References should be contacted as needed. The differential scoring should consider the complexity of the project and any subfactors identified in the project notification.

EVALUATION FORM FOR QUALIFICATIONS FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES

NAME OF PROJECT: CSO 18, 19, 23, 33 & 37 Inflow Reduction

NAME OF DESIGN FIRM: American Structurepoint

NAME OF REVIEWER: City Admin - Nick Dutro

Category	Total Value	Score
<b>Management &amp; Team</b>		
Project Manager (1)	10	9
Strength/Experience of Assigned Staff including Subconsultants (2)	25	18
Firm's Current Workload/Availability of Personnel (3)	10	8
<b>Consultants Past Performance (4)</b>	30	25
<b>Project Approach</b>	25	21
<b>Total</b>	100	81

Notes:

- (1) The proposed project manager for each consultant shall be ranked with the highest ranked project manager receiving the greatest number of points and the lower ranked project managers receiving commensurately lower scores. The rankings and scores should be based on each project manager's experience on similar projects and past performance for the City of Tiffin and other agencies. Differential scoring should consider the relative importance of the project manager's role in the success of a given project. The project manager's role in a simple project may be less important than that for a complex project, and differential scoring should reflect this with higher differentials assigned to projects that require a larger role for the project manager.
- (2) The experience and strength of the assigned staff, including subconsultant staff should be ranked and scored as noted for (1) with higher differential scores assigned on more difficult projects.
- (3) The consultant's workload and availability of qualified personnel, equipment and facilities shall be ranked and scored on a relative, differential scoring type basis. The scoring shall consider quantifiable concerns regarding the ability of a firm or firms rated higher in other categories to complete the work with staff members named in the letter of interest.
- (4) The consultants' past performance on similar projects shall be ranked and scored on a relative, differential scoring type basis, with the highest ranked consultant receiving a commensurately greater number of points. The selection team should consider past performance on work for the City of Tiffin and/or past performance on work completed for other agencies. References should be contacted as needed. The differential scoring should consider the complexity of the project and any subfactors identified in the project notification.

**EVALUATION FORM FOR QUALIFICATIONS FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES**

NAME OF PROJECT: CSO 18, 19, 23, 33 & 37 Inflow Reduction

NAME OF DESIGN FIRM: K.E. McCartney

NAME OF REVIEWER: City Engineer - Matt Watson

Category	Total Value	Score
<b>Management &amp; Team</b>		
Project Manager (1)	10	6
Strength/Experience of Assigned Staff including Subconsultants (2)	25	16
Firm's Current Workload/Availability of Personnel (3)	10	6
<b>Consultants Past Performance (4)</b>	30	20
<b>Project Approach</b>	25	15
<b>Total</b>	100	63

**Notes:**

- (1) The proposed project manager for each consultant shall be ranked with the highest ranked project manager receiving the greatest number of points and the lower ranked project managers receiving commensurately lower scores. The rankings and scores should be based on each project manager's experience on similar projects and past performance for the City of Tiffin and other agencies. Differential scoring should consider the relative importance of the project manager's role in the success of a given project. The project manager's role in a simple project may be less important than that for a complex project, and differential scoring should reflect this with higher differentials assigned to projects that require a larger role for the project manager.
- (2) The experience and strength of the assigned staff, including subconsultant staff should be ranked and scored as noted for (1) with higher differential scores assigned on more difficult projects.
- (3) The consultant's workload and availability of qualified personnel, equipment and facilities shall be ranked and scored on a relative, differential scoring type basis. The scoring shall consider quantifiable concerns regarding the ability of a firm or firms rated higher in other categories to complete the work with staff members named in the letter of interest.
- (4) The consultants' past performance on similar projects shall be ranked and scored on a relative, differential scoring type basis, with the highest ranked consultant receiving a commensurately greater number of points. The selection team should consider past performance on work for the City of Tiffin and/or past performance on work completed for other agencies. References should be contacted as needed. The differential scoring should consider the complexity of the project and any subfactors identified in the project notification.

**EVALUATION FORM FOR QUALIFICATIONS FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES**

NAME OF PROJECT: CSO 18, 19, 23, 33 & 37 Inflow Reduction

NAME OF DESIGN FIRM: Burgess & Niple

NAME OF REVIEWER: City Engineer - Matt Watson

Category	Total Value	Score
<b>Management &amp; Team</b>		
Project Manager (1)	10	7
Strength/Experience of Assigned Staff including Subconsultants (2)	25	18
Firm's Current Workload/Availability of Personnel (3)	10	7
<b>Consultants Past Performance (4)</b>	30	20
<b>Project Approach</b>	25	25
<b>Total</b>	100	77

**Notes:**

- (1) The proposed project manager for each consultant shall be ranked with the highest ranked project manager receiving the greatest number of points and the lower ranked project managers receiving commensurately lower scores. The rankings and scores should be based on each project manager's experience on similar projects and past performance for the City of Tiffin and other agencies. Differential scoring should consider the relative importance of the project manager's role in the success of a given project. The project manager's role in a simple project may be less important than that for a complex project, and differential scoring should reflect this with higher differentials assigned to projects that require a larger role for the project manager.
- (2) The experience and strength of the assigned staff, including subconsultant staff should be ranked and scored as noted for (1) with higher differential scores assigned on more difficult projects.
- (3) The consultant's workload and availability of qualified personnel, equipment and facilities shall be ranked and scored on a relative, differential scoring type basis. The scoring shall consider quantifiable concerns regarding the ability of a firm or firms rated higher in other categories to complete the work with staff members named in the letter of interest.
- (4) The consultants' past performance on similar projects shall be ranked and scored on a relative, differential scoring type basis, with the highest ranked consultant receiving a commensurately greater number of points. The selection team should consider past performance on work for the City of Tiffin and/or past performance on work completed for other agencies. References should be contacted as needed. The differential scoring should consider the complexity of the project and any subfactors identified in the project notification.

EVALUATION FORM FOR QUALIFICATIONS FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES

NAME OF PROJECT: CSO 18, 19, 23, 33 & 37 Inflow Reduction

NAME OF DESIGN FIRM: DLZ

NAME OF REVIEWER: City Engineer - Matt Watson

Category	Total Value	Score
<b>Management &amp; Team</b>		
Project Manager (1)	10	9
Strength/Experience of Assigned Staff including Subconsultants (2)	25	22
Firm's Current Workload/Availability of Personnel (3)	10	9
<b>Consultants Past Performance (4)</b>	30	24
<b>Project Approach</b>	25	18
<b>Total</b>	100	82

Notes:

- (1) The proposed project manager for each consultant shall be ranked with the highest ranked project manager receiving the greatest number of points and the lower ranked project managers receiving commensurately lower scores. The rankings and scores should be based on each project manager's experience on similar projects and past performance for the City of Tiffin and other agencies. Differential scoring should consider the relative importance of the project manager's role in the success of a given project. The project manager's role in a simple project may be less important than that for a complex project, and differential scoring should reflect this with higher differentials assigned to projects that require a larger role for the project manager.
- (2) The experience and strength of the assigned staff, including subconsultant staff should be ranked and scored as noted for (1) with higher differential scores assigned on more difficult projects.
- (3) The consultant's workload and availability of qualified personnel, equipment and facilities shall be ranked and scored on a relative, differential scoring type basis. The scoring shall consider quantifiable concerns regarding the ability of a firm or firms rated higher in other categories to complete the work with staff members named in the letter of interest.
- (4) The consultants' past performance on similar projects shall be ranked and scored on a relative, differential scoring type basis, with the highest ranked consultant receiving a commensurately greater number of points. The selection team should consider past performance on work for the City of Tiffin and/or past performance on work completed for other agencies. References should be contacted as needed. The differential scoring should consider the complexity of the project and any subfactors identified in the project notification.

EVALUATION FORM FOR QUALIFICATIONS FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES

NAME OF PROJECT: CSO 18, 19, 23, 33 & 37 Inflow Reduction

NAME OF DESIGN FIRM: AECOM

NAME OF REVIEWER: City Engineer - Matt Watson

Category	Total Value	Score
<b>Management &amp; Team</b>		
Project Manager (1)	10	10
Strength/Experience of Assigned Staff including Subconsultants (2)	25	20
Firm's Current Workload/Availability of Personnel (3)	10	8
<b>Consultants Past Performance (4)</b>	30	22
<b>Project Approach</b>	25	22
<b>Total</b>	100	82

Notes:

- (1) The proposed project manager for each consultant shall be ranked with the highest ranked project manager receiving the greatest number of points and the lower ranked project managers receiving commensurately lower scores. The rankings and scores should be based on each project manager's experience on similar projects and past performance for the City of Tiffin and other agencies. Differential scoring should consider the relative importance of the project manager's role in the success of a given project. The project manager's role in a simple project may be less important than that for a complex project, and differential scoring should reflect this with higher differentials assigned to projects that require a larger role for the project manager.
- (2) The experience and strength of the assigned staff, including subconsultant staff should be ranked and scored as noted for (1) with higher differential scores assigned on more difficult projects.
- (3) The consultant's workload and availability of qualified personnel, equipment and facilities shall be ranked and scored on a relative, differential scoring type basis. The scoring shall consider quantifiable concerns regarding the ability of a firm or firms rated higher in other categories to complete the work with staff members named in the letter of interest.
- (4) The consultants' past performance on similar projects shall be ranked and scored on a relative, differential scoring type basis, with the highest ranked consultant receiving a commensurately greater number of points. The selection team should consider past performance on work for the City of Tiffin and/or past performance on work completed for other agencies. References should be contacted as needed. The differential scoring should consider the complexity of the project and any subfactors identified in the project notification.

EVALUATION FORM FOR QUALIFICATIONS FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES

NAME OF PROJECT: CSO 18, 19, 23, 33, & 37 Inflow Reduction

NAME OF DESIGN FIRM: American Structurepoint

NAME OF REVIEWER: City Engineer - Matt Watson

Category	Total Value	Score
<b>Management &amp; Team</b>		
Project Manager (1)	10	8
Strength/Experience of Assigned Staff including Subconsultants (2)	25	25
Firm's Current Workload/Availability of Personnel (3)	10	10
<b>Consultants Past Performance (4)</b>	30	30
<b>Project Approach</b>	25	20
<b>Total</b>	100	93

Notes:

- (1) The proposed project manager for each consultant shall be ranked with the highest ranked project manager receiving the greatest number of points and the lower ranked project managers receiving commensurately lower scores. The rankings and scores should be based on each project manager's experience on similar projects and past performance for the City of Tiffin and other agencies. Differential scoring should consider the relative importance of the project manager's role in the success of a given project. The project manager's role in a simple project may be less important than that for a complex project, and differential scoring should reflect this with higher differentials assigned to projects that require a larger role for the project manager.
- (2) The experience and strength of the assigned staff, including subconsultant staff should be ranked and scored as noted for (1) with higher differential scores assigned on more difficult projects.
- (3) The consultant's workload and availability of qualified personnel, equipment and facilities shall be ranked and scored on a relative, differential scoring type basis. The scoring shall consider quantifiable concerns regarding the ability of a firm or firms rated higher in other categories to complete the work with staff members named in the letter of interest.
- (4) The consultants' past performance on similar projects shall be ranked and scored on a relative, differential scoring type basis, with the highest ranked consultant receiving a commensurately greater number of points. The selection team should consider past performance on work for the City of Tiffin and/or past performance on work completed for other agencies. References should be contacted as needed. The differential scoring should consider the complexity of the project and any subfactors identified in the project notification.



**EVALUATION FORM FOR QUALIFICATIONS FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES**

NAME OF PROJECT: CSO 18, 19, 23, 33 & 37 Inflow Reduction

NAME OF DESIGN FIRM: K.E. McCartney

NAME OF REVIEWER: PUBLIC WORKS SUPT. - Brandon Borner

Category	Total Value	Score
<b>Management &amp; Team</b>		
Project Manager (1)	10	8
Strength/Experience of Assigned Staff including Subconsultants (2)	25	18
Firm's Current Workload/Availability of Personnel (3)	10	8
<b>Consultants Past Performance (4)</b>	30	19
<b>Project Approach</b>	25	16
<b>Total</b>	100	69

**Notes:**

- (1) The proposed project manager for each consultant shall be ranked with the highest ranked project manager receiving the greatest number of points and the lower ranked project managers receiving commensurately lower scores. The rankings and scores should be based on each project manager's experience on similar projects and past performance for the City of Tiffin and other agencies. Differential scoring should consider the relative importance of the project manager's role in the success of a given project. The project manager's role in a simple project may be less important than that for a complex project, and differential scoring should reflect this with higher differentials assigned to projects that require a larger role for the project manager.
- (2) The experience and strength of the assigned staff, including subconsultant staff should be ranked and scored as noted for (1) with higher differential scores assigned on more difficult projects.
- (3) The consultant's workload and availability of qualified personnel, equipment and facilities shall be ranked and scored on a relative, differential scoring type basis. The scoring shall consider quantifiable concerns regarding the ability of a firm or firms rated higher in other categories to complete the work with staff members named in the letter of interest.
- (4) The consultants' past performance on similar projects shall be ranked and scored on a relative, differential scoring type basis, with the highest ranked consultant receiving a commensurately greater number of points. The selection team should consider past performance on work for the City of Tiffin and/or past performance on work completed for other agencies. References should be contacted as needed. The differential scoring should consider the complexity of the project and any subfactors identified in the project notification.

EVALUATION FORM FOR QUALIFICATIONS FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES

NAME OF PROJECT: CSO 18, 19, 23, 33 & 37 Inflow Reduction

NAME OF DESIGN FIRM: Burgess & Niple

NAME OF REVIEWER: PUBLIC WORKS SUPT. - Brandon Burner

Category	Total Value	Score
<b>Management &amp; Team</b>		
Project Manager (1)	10	8
Strength/Experience of Assigned Staff including Subconsultants (2)	25	19
Firm's Current Workload/Availability of Personnel (3)	10	8
<b>Consultants Past Performance (4)</b>	30	19
<b>Project Approach</b>	25	16
<b>Total</b>	100	70

Notes:

- (1) The proposed project manager for each consultant shall be ranked with the highest ranked project manager receiving the greatest number of points and the lower ranked project managers receiving commensurately lower scores. The rankings and scores should be based on each project manager's experience on similar projects and past performance for the City of Tiffin and other agencies. Differential scoring should consider the relative importance of the project manager's role in the success of a given project. The project manager's role in a simple project may be less important than that for a complex project, and differential scoring should reflect this with higher differentials assigned to projects that require a larger role for the project manager.
- (2) The experience and strength of the assigned staff, including subconsultant staff should be ranked and scored as noted for (1) with higher differential scores assigned on more difficult projects.
- (3) The consultant's workload and availability of qualified personnel, equipment and facilities shall be ranked and scored on a relative, differential scoring type basis. The scoring shall consider quantifiable concerns regarding the ability of a firm or firms rated higher in other categories to complete the work with staff members named in the letter of interest.
- (4) The consultants' past performance on similar projects shall be ranked and scored on a relative, differential scoring type basis, with the highest ranked consultant receiving a commensurately greater number of points. The selection team should consider past performance on work for the City of Tiffin and/or past performance on work completed for other agencies. References should be contacted as needed. The differential scoring should consider the complexity of the project and any subfactors identified in the project notification.



EVALUATION FORM FOR QUALIFICATIONS FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES

NAME OF PROJECT: CSO 18, 19, 23, 33 & 37 Inflow Reduction

NAME OF DESIGN FIRM: DL2

NAME OF REVIEWER: PUBLIC WORKS SUPT. - BRANDON BURNER

Category	Total Value	Score
<b>Management &amp; Team</b>		
Project Manager (1)	10	8
Strength/Experience of Assigned Staff including Subconsultants (2)	25	21
Firm's Current Workload/Availability of Personnel (3)	10	8
<b>Consultants Past Performance (4)</b>	30	23
<b>Project Approach</b>	25	22
<b>Total</b>	100	82

Notes:

- (1) The proposed project manager for each consultant shall be ranked with the highest ranked project manager receiving the greatest number of points and the lower ranked project managers receiving commensurately lower scores. The rankings and scores should be based on each project manager's experience on similar projects and past performance for the City of Tiffin and other agencies. Differential scoring should consider the relative importance of the project manager's role in the success of a given project. The project manager's role in a simple project may be less important than that for a complex project, and differential scoring should reflect this with higher differentials assigned to projects that require a larger role for the project manager.
- (2) The experience and strength of the assigned staff, including subconsultant staff should be ranked and scored as noted for (1) with higher differential scores assigned on more difficult projects.
- (3) The consultant's workload and availability of qualified personnel, equipment and facilities shall be ranked and scored on a relative, differential scoring type basis. The scoring shall consider quantifiable concerns regarding the ability of a firm or firms rated higher in other categories to complete the work with staff members named in the letter of interest.
- (4) The consultants' past performance on similar projects shall be ranked and scored on a relative, differential scoring type basis, with the highest ranked consultant receiving a commensurately greater number of points. The selection team should consider past performance on work for the City of Tiffin and/or past performance on work completed for other agencies. References should be contacted as needed. The differential scoring should consider the complexity of the project and any subfactors identified in the project notification.

EVALUATION FORM FOR QUALIFICATIONS FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES

NAME OF PROJECT: CSO 18, 19, 23, 33 & 37 Inflow Reduction

NAME OF DESIGN FIRM: AECOM

NAME OF REVIEWER: PUBLIC WORKS SUPT. - BRANDON BURNER

Category	Total Value	Score
<b>Management &amp; Team</b>		
Project Manager (1)	10	8
Strength/Experience of Assigned Staff including Subconsultants (2)	25	20
Firm's Current Workload/Availability of Personnel (3)	10	8
<b>Consultants Past Performance (4)</b>	30	23
<b>Project Approach</b>	25	22
<b>Total</b>	100	81

Notes:

- (1) The proposed project manager for each consultant shall be ranked with the highest ranked project manager receiving the greatest number of points and the lower ranked project managers receiving commensurately lower scores. The rankings and scores should be based on each project manager's experience on similar projects and past performance for the City of Tiffin and other agencies. Differential scoring should consider the relative importance of the project manager's role in the success of a given project. The project manager's role in a simple project may be less important than that for a complex project, and differential scoring should reflect this with higher differentials assigned to projects that require a larger role for the project manager.
- (2) The experience and strength of the assigned staff, including subconsultant staff should be ranked and scored as noted for (1) with higher differential scores assigned on more difficult projects.
- (3) The consultant's workload and availability of qualified personnel, equipment and facilities shall be ranked and scored on a relative, differential scoring type basis. The scoring shall consider quantifiable concerns regarding the ability of a firm or firms rated higher in other categories to complete the work with staff members named in the letter of interest.
- (4) The consultants' past performance on similar projects shall be ranked and scored on a relative, differential scoring type basis, with the highest ranked consultant receiving a commensurately greater number of points. The selection team should consider past performance on work for the City of Tiffin and/or past performance on work completed for other agencies. References should be contacted as needed. The differential scoring should consider the complexity of the project and any subfactors identified in the project notification.



**EVALUATION FORM FOR QUALIFICATIONS FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES**

NAME OF PROJECT: CSO 18, 19, 23, 33 & 37 Inflow Reduction

NAME OF DESIGN FIRM: American Structurepoint

NAME OF REVIEWER: Public Works Supt. - Brandon Burner

Category	Total Value	Score
<b>Management &amp; Team</b>		
Project Manager (1)	10	8
Strength/Experience of Assigned Staff including Subconsultants (2)	25	24
Firm's Current Workload/Availability of Personnel (3)	10	9
<b>Consultants Past Performance (4)</b>	30	28
<b>Project Approach</b>	25	20
<b>Total</b>	100	89

**Notes:**

- (1) The proposed project manager for each consultant shall be ranked with the highest ranked project manager receiving the greatest number of points and the lower ranked project managers receiving commensurately lower scores. The rankings and scores should be based on each project manager's experience on similar projects and past performance for the City of Tiffin and other agencies. Differential scoring should consider the relative importance of the project manager's role in the success of a given project. The project manager's role in a simple project may be less important than that for a complex project, and differential scoring should reflect this with higher differentials assigned to projects that require a larger role for the project manager.
- (2) The experience and strength of the assigned staff, including subconsultant staff should be ranked and scored as noted for (1) with higher differential scores assigned on more difficult projects.
- (3) The consultant's workload and availability of qualified personnel, equipment and facilities shall be ranked and scored on a relative, differential scoring type basis. The scoring shall consider quantifiable concerns regarding the ability of a firm or firms rated higher in other categories to complete the work with staff members named in the letter of interest.
- (4) The consultants' past performance on similar projects shall be ranked and scored on a relative, differential scoring type basis, with the highest ranked consultant receiving a commensurately greater number of points. The selection team should consider past performance on work for the City of Tiffin and/or past performance on work completed for other agencies. References should be contacted as needed. The differential scoring should consider the complexity of the project and any subfactors identified in the project notification.